

2802

FORM LETTER G
22-43

From: Eric Peters [n3zgd@hotmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, January 02, 2010 9:05 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking-Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

RECEIVED
2010 JAN -2 PM 4:41
INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Eric Peters
2501 McLaughlin Road
Waterford Pa, 16441

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. **We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities.** Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

Eric Peters

Your E-mail and More On-the-Go. Get Windows Live Hotmail Free. [Sign up now.](#)

From: sschevyride [sschevyride@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 03, 2010 10:41 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking-Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

RECEIVED

2010 JAN -3 PM 4:37

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Ronni J. Shick
1801A Miller Street
Sligo, PA 16255

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

From: Marian [chugo@chilitech.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 02, 2010 3:36 PM
To: EP, RegComments

RECEIVED

JAN 02 2010 3:36 PM

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

REGULATORY
DIVISION

FROM:
Carl and Marian Hugo
484 Hill RD
Forksville, PA 18616

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

From: Kevin Harding-CADPlus [cadplus@epix.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 02, 2010 5:19 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

RECEIVED
JAN -02 PM 5:19
PENNSYLVANIA REGULATORY
COMMISSION

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Kevin Harding
312 Overlook Rd
Nescopeck, PA 18635

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

Kevin Harding

From: George White [geojr@ptd.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 02, 2010 7:28 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking - Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers"

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
George White
1055 Deer Ln
Lehighton, Pa 18235

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

From: Dave Acker [chip3@roadrunner.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 03, 2010 11:25 AM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Wood Burners

RECEIVED

210 JAN -03 PM 4:31

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

- > Dear Board:
>
> Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor
> wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our
> Commonwealth for the following reasons:
>
> 1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the
> beginning of recorded history.
> 2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the
> environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment -
> Fossil fuels DO.
> 3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
> 4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing
> jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400
> percent.
> 5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas.
> It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with
> a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that
> constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any
> needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly,
> we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of
> OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of
> densely populated areas.
>
> Thank you. David Acker

From: Pypcreek@aol.com
Sent: Sunday, January 03, 2010 1:07 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boiler

To:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

From:
Darryl Tremblay
290 Zwilling Rd. W.
Erie, PA 16509-5438
E-mail: texzapato@aol.com

RECEIVED
JAN 03 2010 1:07 PM
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consist primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank You.
Darryl Tremblay

From: fockler [tkfockler@comcast.net]
Sent: Sunday, January 03, 2010 11:35 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking - Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

RECEIVED

JAN 03 PM 11:37

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

FROM:
Tim Fockler
188 Hitchens Rd.
Six Mile Run, PA. 16679

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

From: Tavis Sayers [tss82804@yahoo.com]
Sent: Monday, January 04, 2010 9:40 AM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking - Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

RECEIVED

JAN 04 2010 10:36

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

Dear Board,

Please DO NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels do harm the environment. Wood doesn't add greenhouse gases to the environment - fossil fuels do.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

Stacey Sayers
19850 Meadowland Drive
Meadville, PA 16335

2802

TO:

Environment Quality Board

PO Box 8477

Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

RECEIVED

1993 JAN -8 PM 4:42

REGULATORY INFORMATION
COMMISSION

FROM:

James Dubina

180 O'Hare Road

Canonsburg, PA 15317

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment – Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth of with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you. Desiree Dubina

From: Chester Tau [cltau@earthlink.net]
Sent: Friday, January 01, 2010 9:01 AM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking - Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Chester Tau
14034 Kiefer Rd.
Springboro, PA 16435

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. **Fossil fuels DO** harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - **Fossil fuels DO.**
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

Chester Tau

From: Jodi Graham [jodigraham454@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 01, 2010 6:35 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Cc: jodigraham454@yahoo.com
Subject: keep burnin wood!

To: Environmental Quality Board PO Box 8474 Harrisburgh PA. 17105-8477 From: Mark and Jodi Graham 9188 Franklin Pike Meadville PA 16335 Re: Proposed Rulemaking(25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123) outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers Dear Board: Please DO NOT PROCEED with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. wood is renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. wood doesn't harm the environment. fossil fuels DO harm the environment wood doesn't add greenhouse gasses to the environment-fossil fuels do.
3. the proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. this regulation will damage the economy of pennsylvania by killing job, and by increasing the heating costs of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. the commonwealth consist primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. it makes no sense to burden the citizens of out entire commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densly populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the commonwealth. we believe that any needed regulations should be left to local municipalities. accordingly, we recommend that the board not adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

thank you

mark and jodi graham
household

From: Kathy [nipper@chilitech.net]
Sent: Friday, January 01, 2010 2:33 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS: 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

RECEIVED

JAN 01 2010 2:33 PM

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
HARRISBURG, PA 17104

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Kathleen Hugo
583 Kobbe RD
Forksville, PA 18616

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

From: LeRoy A Warner [nitridr@ptd.net]
Sent: Saturday, January 02, 2010 11:35 AM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: "Comments on Proposed Rulemaking - Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers":

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
LeRoy Warner
252 Service Road
Effort Pa.18330

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment.
3. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
4. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
5. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
6. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. I believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

LeRoy A. Warner

From: Jason (moto) [motocrossman611@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 31, 2009 5:40 PM
To: EP, RegComments
Subject: Comments on Proposed Rulemaking-Outdoor Wood Fired Boilers

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Jason London
421 Punxsy Road
Mayport, PA 16240

RE: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

2802

RECEIVED

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

2010 JAN -8 PM 4:35

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

FROM:
Nicole L Polley
156 Maple Ave.
Lake Ariel, PA 18436

RE: Proposed Rulemaking (25PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123) Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add green house gasses to the environment – Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

2802 RECEIVED

2009 JAN -08 PM 11:35

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
Roseann V Polley
156 Maple Ave.
Lake Ariel, PA 18436

RE: Proposed Rulemaking (25PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123) Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add green house gasses to the environment – Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

2802

RECEIVED

2010 JAN -8 PM 4:35

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
William H Polley
156 Maple Ave.
Lake Ariel, PA 18436

RE: Proposed Rulemaking (25PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123) Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add green house gasses to the environment – Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

From: DZ8190@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, January 05, 2010 11:22 AM
To: EP, RegComments
Cc: DZ8190@aol.com
Subject: Outdoor Burner regulations

RECEIVED

2010 JAN 11 AM 9:35

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

FROM:
David G Zavetsky
7018 Route 6
Wellsboro, PA 16901

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.

David Zavetsky

2802

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
PO Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JAN - 5 2010

FROM:
Perry Kline
3420 Little Road
Perkiomenville, PA 18074

2010 JAN 12 PM 2:56

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
COMMISSION

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

Re: Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123] Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following reasons:

1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.
2. Wood does not harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment - Fossil fuels DO.
3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.
4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.
5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.

Thank you.



2802

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

December 31, 2009

2010 JAN 12 PM 2:56

JAN - 6 2010

TO:
Environmental Quality Board
P O Box 8477
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8477

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY
REVIEW COMMISSION

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY BOARD

FROM:
John R. Rhine
P O Box 135
Ickesburg, PA 17037

Re: Proposed Rulemaking (25 PA. Code CHS. 121 and 123) Outdoor Wood-Fired Boilers

Dear Board:

Please do NOT proceed with your proposed rulemaking regarding outdoor wood-fired boilers. I believe this would be unacceptable for our Commonwealth for the following Reasons:

- 1. Wood is a renewable fuel that has been safely used since the beginning of recorded history.**
- 2. Wood does NOT harm the environment. Fossil fuels DO harm the environment. Wood does not add greenhouse gasses to the environment – Fossil fuels DO.**
- 3. The proposed regulation was prepared using grossly flawed data.**
- 4. This regulation will damage the economy of Pennsylvania by killing jobs, and by increasing the heating cost of many homeowners by over 400 percent.**
- 5. The Commonwealth consists primarily of heavily-wooded, rural areas. It makes no sense to burden the citizens of our entire Commonwealth with a rule that really only applies to densely populated areas that constitute a tiny portion of the Commonwealth. We believe that any needed regulation should be left to local municipalities. Accordingly, we recommend that the Board NOT adopt the proposed, or any regulation of OWBs. Such regulations can be easily managed by local municipalities of densely populated areas.**

Thank You.


JOHN R. RHINE